

## Select Committee Summary – Mandates 16/17

Number	Name	Strong Communities	Children & Young people	Economy & Development
B1	Not for profit Service Delivery Model – Leisure, Tourism & Youth		<p><b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b></p> <p>The committee would like further discussion as more detail became available particularly around accountability scrutiny and governance and where we hold statutory responsibility for services. They would also like the issues to be discussed and considered with regards to the effects this would potentially have on children and young people. i.e. activities outside school.</p>	<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal.</b></p> <p>Questions were raised about the impact on the community and whether there would be a reduction in service or an increase in fees.</p>
B2	Rationalise business support	<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate but did not feel there was sufficient information in place to allow proper scrutiny.</b></p>		
B3	Training Services consolidation			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal</b></p> <p>A Member commented that it made sense to rationalise but was concerned about the accessibility of services to the Community.</p> <p>A Member mentioned the high cost of training the trainers and asked if outsourcing training and becoming an enabling County, encouraging private sector jobs would be more beneficial? When asked by a Member</p>

				how confident they were with the ideas for savings in the Mandate an Officer replied that they were not savings, but income generation.
B4	SRS Business Dev			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal</b></p> <p>A Member raised concerns regarding security. It was answered that the Cloud model was tried and tested, with technology advancing all the time. There were no plans for all data to be held in the Cloud, with Operational and Enterprise information prioritised at this time.</p>
B5	Community Asset Transfer			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal</b></p> <p>Assurances were sought that when assets were transferred that the County could safeguard the use of the facility.</p> <p>A Member commented that helping with business plans would be fruitful as we need organisations to be sustainable long term.</p>
B6	Community Infrastructure Levy			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal with further decision on CIL on the 26<sup>th</sup> November 2015</b></p> <p>It was asked what happens to small Community Councils with large developments, what they spend the money on. A Member commented on the impact of Wards who will not benefit from 15% CIL as there is no opportunity for development.</p>
B7	Legal Services	Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.		

B8	Promoting responsible business waste	Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.		
B9	Planning Services – Income Gen			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal.</b></p> <p>A Member raised concerns regarding the use of retrospective planning applications. It was mentioned that the Mandate was reliant on the housing market. A Member commended the work of the Planning Department.</p>
B10	Extension of shared lodging.			<p><b>The committee agreed to support the mandate proposal.</b></p> <p>Members acknowledged that recent joint scrutiny of housing by Adults Select Committee and Strong Communities Select Committee had provided answers to many questions, however, the Committee welcomed the extra income and additions to the portfolio.</p>
B11	Leadership Team structure Review	<p><b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b></p> <p>The committee wanted to ensure no double counting would take place.</p>		
B12	2 <sup>nd</sup> phase – review of subsidies	<p><b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b> The committee agreed that we cannot keep subsidies ongoing. The ideal outcome was to help create self-sustaining organisations.</p>		
B13	Highways infrastructure	Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.		

	<b>income generation.</b>	Question was asked regarding if we could explore advertising on the side of refuse lorries.		
<b>B14</b>	<b>Grounds funding review</b>	<b>Committee agreed with the mandate proposal with regards to wild flower planting rather than annual bedding. But the majority of the committee do not support the mandate in terms of hedge and highway verge cutting.</b>		
<b>B15</b>	<b>Highways maintenance review</b>	<b>Following a vote the majority of the committee resolved not to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>		
<b>B16</b>	<b>Flexible Employment Options.</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>		
<b>B17</b>	<b>Business Rates Evaluation appeals</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>		
<b>B18</b>	<b>Strategic Property Review</b>			<b>The committee agreed to support the mandate in principle, with closer scrutiny required.</b> A Member commented that closer scrutiny was required as the Mandate was just a principle at present. A question was asked about increasing the flexibility of agile working. It was asked by a Member if the extra staff being based at Usk would result in more Car parking spaces.
<b>B19</b>	<b>Property Services &amp; Facilities review</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>		
<b>B20</b>	<b>Service provision ALN</b>		<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>	
<b>B21</b>	<b>Town and</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the</b>		

	<b>community Council</b>	<b>proposal of the mandate.</b> However requested further information on what would be stopped if community councils didn't contribute.		
<b>B22</b>	<b>Collaboration and realigning structures in Operations.</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b>		
<b>B23</b>	<b>Discretionary fees and income</b>	<b>The chair asked for the mandate to be revisited. They felt there was not enough information at present and that is was difficult to support the proposal without further information.</b>	<b>Committee agreed to support the proposal of the mandate.</b> They would also like the issues to be discussed and considered with regards to the effects this would potentially have on children and young people i.e school meals.	<b>The committee agreed to support the mandate.</b> The Committee felt this was an excellent mandate.
<b>Adults Select</b>	<b>No current mandates proposed for 16/17 for direct delivery by Adults Services. All members of Adults Select Committee to scrutinise the budget savings proposals for 2016/2017 and provide their response by 30th November 2015 to Claire Robins.</b>			
<b>Final Mandate Select</b>	<b>16<sup>th</sup> December 2015 – Joint Select to review all revised mandates and receive copies of all updated mandates following full engagement and consultation with communities, staff and members in line with engagement and consultation plan for 2016/17 mandates.</b>			